

|                                             |                                      |                                           |  |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/898,795 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MURRAY, DOUGLAS J. |  |
|                                             | <b>Examiner</b><br>Kenneth Thompson  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3672                   |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Kenneth Thompson.

(2) Keith J. Murphy.

**Date of Interview:** 23 January 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
Examiner and Applicant's Attorney agreed to amend claim 13 to depend from claim 12 since it further limits the opening introduced in claim 12.

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Claims amended in response to non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 9:00

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)